



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
Re: Appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

In re PATENT application of  
RAVISHANKAR et al.

Application No. 09/820,884

Filed: March 30, 2001

Title: MESSAGING SYSTEM CONFIGURED FOR SELECTIVELY ACCESSING SUBSCRIBER SPOKEN NAME FROM A DIRECTORY SERVER BASED ON DETERMINED UNAVAILABILITY OF MESSAGING SERVER

Group Art Unit: 2152

Examiner: LESNIEWSKI, Victor D

Docket : 95-461

Date: November 20, 2006  
(November 18, 2006 = Saturday)

Commissioner of Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

- 1  **NOTICE OF APPEAL:** Applicant hereby appeals to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences from the decision (not Advisory Action) dated July 18, 2006 of the Examiner twice/finally rejecting claims 1-21, 23-31, and 34-43
- 2  **BRIEF** on appeal in this application.
- 3  An **ORAL HEARING** is respectfully requested under Rule 194 (due two months after Examiner's Answer -- unextendable).
- 4  Reply Brief is attached (due two months after Examiner's Answer -- unextendable).

01 FC:1401

500.00 OP

5. <b>FEE CALCULATION:</b>		Large/Small Entity	
If box 1 above is X'd, see box 12 below <u>first</u> and decide: .....		enter	\$ 500/250* \$ 500.00
If box 2 above is X'd, see box 12 below <u>first</u> and decide: .....		enter	\$500/250* \$
If box 3 above is X'd, see box 12 below <u>first</u> and decide: .....		enter	\$1000/500* \$
If box 4 above is X'd, .....		enter nothing	- 0 - (no fee)
6. <u>Original due date: October 18, 2006</u>			
7. Petition is hereby made to extend the original due date to cover the date this response is filed for which the requisite fee is attached		(1 mo) (\$450/\$225) (2mos) (\$10200/\$510) (3mos) (\$1590/\$795) (4mos)	\$120/\$60 + 120
8. Enter any previous extension fee paid [ ] previously since above <u>original</u> due date (item 6); [ ] with concurrently filed amendment .....			
9. Subtract line8 from line7 and enter: Total Extension Fee		+620.00	
10. TOTAL FEE ATTACHED =		\$ 620.00	

11.  \*Fee NOT required if/since paid in prior appeal in which the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences did not render a decision on the merits.

CHARGE STATEMENT: The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee specifically authorized hereafter, or any missing or insufficient fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith or concerning any paper filed hereafter, and which may be required under Rules 16-18 (missing or insufficient fee only) now or hereafter relative to this application and the resulting Official document under Rule 20, or credit any overpayment, to our Account/Order No. 50-1130 / 95-461 for which purpose a duplicate copy of this sheet is attached. This CHARGE STATEMENT does not authorize charge of the issue fee until/unless an issue fee transmittal form is filed

Atty: *Leon R. Turkevich*  
Leon R. Turkevich  
Reg. No. 34,035  
Tel: (202) 261.1014  
Fax: (202) 887-0336

Customer No. 23164



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
Re: Appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

In re PATENT application of  
RAVISHANKAR et al.

Group Art Unit: 2152

Application No. 09/820,884

Examiner: LESNIEWSKI, Victor D

Filed: March 30, 2001

Docket : 95-461

Title: MESSAGING SYSTEM CONFIGURED FOR SELECTIVELY ACCESSING SUBSCRIBER SPOKEN NAME FROM A DIRECTORY SERVER BASED ON DETERMINED UNAVAILABILITY OF MESSAGING SERVER

Date: November 20, 2006  
(November 18, 2006 = Saturday)

Commissioner of Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

1  **NOTICE OF APPEAL:** Applicant hereby appeals to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences from the decision (not Advisory Action) dated July 18, 2006 of the Examiner twice/finally rejecting claims 1-21, 23-31, and 34-43. 11/21/2006 SSANDARO 00000013 09820884  
02 FC:1251 120.00 OP

2  **BRIEF** on appeal in this application.

3  An **ORAL HEARING** is respectfully requested under Rule 194 (due two months after Examiner's Answer -- unextendable).

4  Reply Brief is attached (due two months after Examiner's Answer -- unextendable).

5. FEE CALCULATION:		Large/Small Entity	
If box 1 above is X'd, see box 12 below <u>first</u> and decide: .....	enter	\$500/250*	\$ 500.00
If box 2 above is X'd, see box 12 below <u>first</u> and decide: .....	enter	\$500/250*	\$
If box 3 above is X'd, see box 12 below <u>first</u> and decide: .....	enter	\$1000/500*	\$
If box 4 above is X'd, .....	enter nothing	- 0 - (no fee)	
6. Original due date: October 18, 2006			
7. Petition is hereby made to extend the original due date to cover the date this response is filed for which the requisite fee is attached	(1 mo) (2mos) (3mos) (4mos)	\$120/\$60 \$450/\$225 \$10200/\$510 \$1590/\$795	+ 120
8. Enter any previous extension fee paid [ ] previously since above <u>original</u> due date (item 6); [ ] with concurrently filed amendment .....			
9. Subtract line8 from line7 and enter: Total Extension Fee			+620.00
10.		TOTAL FEE ATTACHED =	\$ 620.00

11.  \*Fee NOT required if/since paid in prior appeal in which the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences did not render a decision on the merits.

CHARGE STATEMENT: The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee specifically authorized hereafter, or any missing or insufficient fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith or concerning any paper filed hereafter, and which may be required under Rules 16-18 (missing or insufficient fee only) now or hereafter relative to this application and the resulting Official document under Rule 20, or credit any overpayment, to our Account/Order No. 50-1130/95-461 for which purpose a duplicate copy of this sheet is attached. This CHARGE STATEMENT does not authorize charge of the issue fee until/unless an issue fee transmittal form is filed

Atty: *Leon R. Turkevich*

Leon R. Turkevich

Reg. No. 34,035

Tel: (202) 261.1014

Fax: (202) 887-0336

Customer No. 23164